Linear Functors and their Fixed Points

Masuka Yeasin

Department of Computer Science University of Calgary

FMCS 2012

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Introduction

- Linear actegories: A linearly distributive category with a monoidal category acting on it both covariantly and contravariantly.
 The Logic of Message Passing (J. R. B. Cockett and Craig Pastro)
- We shall prove that the actions give the structure of a parameterized linear functor and the inductive and coinductive data types form a linear functor pair (when data is built on a linear functor).
- In particular, circuit diagrams are helpful to establish these facts.

Motivation

- The logic of products and coproducts gives the logic of communication along channel.
- Linearly distributive categories manage communication channels.
- Linear actegories provide message passing in process world.
- Linear functor gives a basis on which one can build inductive (and coinductive) concurrent data or protocols.

Algebraic definition of Inductive datatype

An inductive datatype for an endo-functor $F : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ is:

- An object $\mu x.F(x)$.
- A map cons : F(µx.F(x)) → µx.F(x) such that given any object
 A ∈ X and a map f : F(A) → A, there exists a unique fold map such that the following diagram commutes.

$$F(\mu x.F(x)) \xrightarrow{\text{cons}} \mu x.F(x)$$

$$F(\text{fold}(f)) \xrightarrow{|}_{|} fold(f)$$

$$\downarrow fold(f)$$

$$\downarrow fold(f)$$

$$\downarrow fold(f)$$

$$\downarrow f$$

4/49

Algebraic definition of Coinductive datatype

Dually a coinductive datatype for F is:

- An object $\nu x.F(x)$.
- A map dest : νx.F(x) → F(νx.F(x)) such that given any object A ∈ X and a map f : A → F(A), there exists a unique unfold map such that the following diagram commutes.



イロン 不良と 不良と 一度 二

Fixed points

Lambek's Lemma

If $F : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ is a functor for which $\mu x.F(x)$ exists then cons : $F(\mu x.F(x)) \to \mu x.F(x)$ is an isomorphism and (dually) if $\nu x.F(x)$ exists then dest : $\nu x.F(x) \to F(\nu x.F(x))$ is an isomorphism.

Circular combinator (alternative method)

A (circular) combinator over F is

$$\frac{A \xrightarrow{f} D}{F(A) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}[f]} D} \mathsf{c}[_]$$

where



<ロ> < 部> < 書> < 書> < 書> のへの 7/49

Circular definition of Inductive datatype

A circular inductive datatype is:

- An object $\mu x.F(x)$.
- A map cons : F(µx.F(x)) → µx.F(x) such that given a (circular) combinator c [_] over F, there exists a unique fold map µa.c[a] such that the following diagram commutes.



8/49

Circular definition of Coinductive datatype

Dually a circular coinductive datatype is:

- An object $\nu x.F(x)$.
- A map dest : *vx*.*F*(*x*) → *F*(*vx*.*F*(*x*)) such that given a (circular) combinator c[_] over *F*, there exists a unique unfold map *vb*.c[*b*] such that the following diagram commutes.



◆□> ◆圖> ◆臣> ◆臣> □ 臣□

Circular rules

We can express cons, dest, fold and unfold in proof theoretically.

fold map



unfold map



Circular rules

• cons $X \xrightarrow{f} F(\mu x.F(x))$ $X \xrightarrow{cons[f]} \mu x.F(x)$ • dest $F(\nu x.F(x)) \xrightarrow{f} X$ $\nu x.F(x) \xrightarrow{dest[f]} X$

These circular rules are used to form datatypes.

Example for inductive datatype

• The set of natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with zero and succ constructors



• This map forms an inductive datatype for natural numbers such that the following diagram commutes.



• If we use circular combinator, then

$\forall X$	$X \vdash_f \mathbb{N}$
$1 \vdash_{zero} \mathbb{N}$	$X \vdash_{succ(X)} \mathbb{N}$
$1 + X \vdash \mathbb{N}$	
N	$\vdash_g \mathbb{N}$

Polycategories

- A **Polycategory** X is a category that consists of list of objects with polymaps.
- For example, $P, Q, R \vdash A, B, C$.
- These maps correspond to Gentzen sequents.
- Composition of polymaps is the cut rules. For example,

$$\frac{P,Q \vdash R,A \quad A,B \vdash C,D}{P,Q,B \vdash R,C,D}$$

13/49

Representability of \otimes and \oplus

We can represent \otimes and \oplus by sequents calculus rules of inference. For example,

 $\frac{\Gamma_1, X, Y, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, X \otimes Y, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}$ $\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, X, Y, \Delta_2$

 $\frac{\mathsf{I} \vdash \Delta_1, X, I, \Delta_2}{\mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash \Delta_1, X \oplus Y, \Delta_2}$

 $\frac{\mathsf{\Gamma}_1, X \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Y, \mathsf{\Gamma}_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{\mathsf{\Gamma}_1, X \oplus Y, \mathsf{\Gamma}_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$

 $\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, X \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash Y, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, X \otimes Y, \Delta_2}$

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ

14/49

Linear distribution

- A representable polycategory gives us linearly distributive category.
- For example, a derivation of one linear distribution is

$$\frac{\frac{X \vdash X \quad Y \vdash Y}{X, Y \vdash X \otimes Y} \quad Z \vdash Z}{X, Y \oplus Z \vdash X \otimes Y, Z}$$
$$\overline{X \otimes (Y \oplus Z) \vdash (X \otimes Y) \oplus Z}$$

Symmetric linearly distributive category

A linearly distributive category is symmetric if both the tensors and pars are symmetric. For symmetric case, there are two linear distributions.

$$egin{aligned} & \delta^L_R : A \otimes (B \oplus C) & o & B \oplus (A \otimes C) \\ & \delta^R_L : (B \oplus C) \otimes A & o & (B \otimes A) \oplus C \end{aligned}$$

that must satisfy some coherence conditions. For example,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \delta^L_R; \ 1 \oplus a_\otimes &=& a_\otimes; \ 1 \otimes \delta^L_R; \ \delta^L_R \\ \delta^R_L; \ \delta^L_R \oplus 1; \ a_\oplus &=& \delta^L_R; \ 1 \oplus \delta^R_L \end{array}$$

16/49

Circular rules for linearly distributive categories

- Circular rules are natural formalism to get fixed points in linearly distributive categories.
- If we have closure, then

But it is not expressable in the linearly distributive setting.

• Circular rules allow us to express this

Monoidal functor

- Suppose $F : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ is a monoidal functor.
- So there must be the following two natural transformations.

•
$$m_{\otimes}: F(A) \otimes F(B) \rightarrow F(A \otimes B)$$

•
$$m_{\top}: \top \to F(\top)$$

that must satisfy two equations.

$$(m_{\top} \otimes 1) \ m \ F(u) = u a_{\otimes} \ (1 \otimes m) \ m = (m \otimes 1) \ m \ F(a_{\otimes})$$

Is the greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor monoidal?

Proposition

The greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor is monoidal and dually the least fixed point of a comonoidal functor is comonoidal.

- Consider $\hat{F} = \nu x \cdot F(x, x)$ is the greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor.
- To prove that \hat{F} is monoidal, we have to show that the two equations hold.
- Consider the first equation, $(\widehat{m_{ op}}\otimes 1)\ \widehat{m}\ \widehat{F}(u)=u$
- It suffices to show that for a fixed g, $(\widehat{m_{\top}} \otimes 1) \ \widehat{m} \ \widehat{F}(u) = \text{unfold}(g)$ and u = unfold(g).

Defining diagram of \widehat{m} and $\widehat{m_{\top}}$



 $(\widehat{m_{ op}}\otimes 1) \ \widehat{m} \ \widehat{F}(u) = \mathsf{unfold}[(m_{ op}\otimes \mathsf{dest}) \ m_{\otimes} \ F(u,1)]$



 $u = \mathsf{unfold}[(m_{ op} \otimes \mathsf{dest}) \ m_{\otimes} \ F(u, 1)]$



• So
$$(\widehat{m_{ op}}\otimes 1) \; \widehat{m} \; \widehat{F}(u) = u$$

The greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor is monoidal.

Linear Functor

 A linear functor is a functor that consists of a monoidal (F : X → Y) and a comonoidal (F̄ : X → Y) functor and four natural transformations (called "linear strengths").

$$\begin{aligned} v^R_{\otimes} &: F(A \oplus B) \to \bar{F}(A) \oplus F(B) \\ v^L_{\otimes} &: F(A \oplus B) \to F(A) \oplus \bar{F}(B) \\ v^R_{\oplus} &: F(A) \otimes \bar{F}(B) \to \bar{F}(A \otimes B) \\ v^L_{\oplus} &: \bar{F}(A) \otimes F(B) \to \bar{F}(A \otimes B) \end{aligned}$$

• The above data must satisfy several coherence conditions. For example,

$$\begin{array}{l} (m_{\otimes} \otimes 1) \; v_{\oplus}^{R} \; \bar{F}(a_{\otimes}) = a_{\otimes} \; (1 \otimes v_{\oplus}^{R}) \; v_{\oplus}^{R} \\ (v_{\otimes}^{L} \otimes 1) \; \delta_{R}^{R} \; (1 \oplus v_{\oplus}^{L}) = m_{\otimes} \; F(\delta_{R}^{R}) \; v_{\otimes}^{L} \\ (v_{\otimes}^{R} \otimes 1) \; \delta_{R}^{R} \; (1 \oplus m_{\otimes}) = m_{\otimes} \; F(\delta_{R}^{R}) \; v_{\otimes}^{R} \end{array}$$

Linear fixed point

Proposition

The fixed point of a linear functor is linear.

In order to prove this, we have to show that

- The greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor, \hat{F} is monoidal and (dually) the least fixed point of a comonoidal functor, $\bar{\hat{F}}$ is comonoidal.(Proved)
- There exist linear strengths between these two fixed point functors that must satisfy the coherence conditions.

Does linear strength exist?

- Prove $\hat{F}(A) \otimes \overline{\hat{F}}(B) \vdash_{\hat{v}_{\oplus}^R} \overline{\hat{F}}(A \otimes B)$ map exists and it is unique fold map.
- It suffices to show that if there is a combinator c[_]

$$\frac{\hat{F}(A)\otimes X\vdash \bar{\bar{F}}(A\otimes B)}{\hat{F}(A)\otimes \bar{F}(B,X)\vdash \bar{\bar{F}}(A\otimes B)} \mathsf{c}[_]$$

\hat{v}^R_\oplus map exists

$\forall X$	$\hat{F}(A)\otimes Xdash_f ar{F}(A\otimes B)$
$A \otimes E$	$B \vdash_{id} A \otimes B \hat{F}(A) \otimes X \vdash_{f} \bar{\hat{F}}(A \otimes B)$
$\overline{\overline{F}(A \otimes B)}$	$,\hat{F}(A)\otimes X)\vdash_{\bar{F}(1,f)}\bar{F}(A\otimes B,\bar{F}(A\otimes B))$
$\overline{\tilde{F}(A \otimes B, \hat{F}(A) \otimes X)} \vdash_{\tilde{F}(1,f); \text{cons}} \overline{\tilde{F}}(A \otimes B)$	
$\overline{F(A,\hat{F}(A))\otimes\bar{F}(B,X)}\vdash_{v_{\bigoplus}^{R};\bar{F}(1,f);\operatorname{cons}}\tilde{F}(A\otimes B)$	
$\overline{\hat{F}(A)\otimes \bar{F}(B,X)} \vdash_{dest\otimes 1; v \underset{\bigoplus}{R}; \bar{F}(1,f); cons} \overline{\hat{F}}(A \otimes B)$	
$\hat{F}(A)\otimes \tilde{\tilde{F}}(B)\vdash_{\hat{v}_{igodown H}} \tilde{F}(A\otimes B)$	

- So there exists \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R .
- \hat{v}^R_{\oplus} is unique fold map such that $1 \otimes \text{cons}; \hat{v}^R_{\oplus} = \mathsf{c}[\hat{v}^R_{\oplus}] = \text{dest} \otimes 1; v^R_{\oplus}; \bar{F}(1, \hat{v}^R_{\oplus}); \text{cons}.$

Coherence condition

- Linear strengths must satisfy the coherence conditions. For example, $(\hat{m} \otimes 1) \ \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R \ \bar{\hat{F}}(a_{\otimes}) = a_{\otimes} \ (1 \otimes \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R) \ \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R$
- It suffices to show that they both equal to fold map that means it suffices to find a combinator u[] such that
 - $\blacktriangleright \ ((1 \otimes 1) \otimes \mathsf{cons}) \ a_{\otimes} \ (1 \otimes \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R) \ \underline{\hat{v}_{\oplus}^R} = \mathsf{u}[a_{\otimes} \ (1 \otimes \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R) \ \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R]$
 - ((1 \otimes 1) \otimes cons) ($\hat{m} \otimes$ 1) $\hat{v}^R_{\oplus} \ \hat{\bar{F}}(a_{\otimes}) = \mathsf{u}[(\hat{m} \otimes 1) \ \hat{v}^R_{\oplus} \ \hat{\bar{F}}(a_{\otimes})]$

 $((1 \otimes 1) \otimes \mathsf{cons}) \; a_{\otimes} \; (1 \otimes \hat{v}^R_{\oplus}) \; \hat{v}^R_{\oplus} = \mathsf{u}[a_{\otimes} \; (1 \otimes \hat{v}^R_{\oplus}) \; \hat{v}^R_{\oplus}]$



<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 三 の Q () 28 / 49 $((1 \otimes 1) \otimes \mathsf{cons}) \; (\hat{m} \otimes 1) \; \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R \; \bar{F}(a_{\otimes}) = \mathsf{u}[(\hat{m} \otimes 1) \; \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R \; \bar{F}(a_{\otimes})]$



- $(\hat{m} \otimes 1) \ \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R \ \bar{F}(a_{\otimes}) = a_{\otimes} \ (1 \otimes \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R) \ \hat{v}_{\oplus}^R$ holds.
- So if a linear functor has linear fixed point then it is linear.

Linear Actegories

- A linearly distributive category with a monoidal category acting on it both covariantly and contravariantly is called linear actegories.
- Linear A- actegory is:

 $\circ:\mathbb{A}\times\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{X}\quad\text{and}\quad\bullet:\mathbb{A}^{op}\times\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{X}.$

- Here A = (A, *, I, a_{*}, l_{*}, r_{*}, c_{*}) is a symmetric monoidal category and X is a symmetric linear distributive category.
- The two "actions" of $\mathbb A$ on $\mathbb X$ are \circ and •.
- The unit and counit are denoted by $n_{A,X} : X \to A \bullet (A \circ X)$ and $e_{A,X} : A \circ (A \bullet X) \to X$.

Linear Actegories

• The natural isomorphisms in $\mathbb X$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb A$ and $X, Y \in \mathbb X$

$$u_{\circ}: I \circ X \to X,$$
$$u_{\bullet}: X \to I \bullet X,$$
$$a_{\circ}^{*}: (A * B) \circ X \to A \circ (B \circ X),$$
$$a_{\bullet}^{*}: A \bullet (B \bullet X) \to (A * B) \bullet X,$$
$$a_{\otimes}^{\circ}: A \circ (X \otimes Y) \to (A \circ X) \otimes Y,$$
$$a_{\oplus}^{\circ}: (A \bullet X) \oplus Y \to A \bullet (X \oplus Y).$$

• The natural morphisms in $\mathbb X$ for all $A,B\in \mathbb A$ and $X,Y\in \mathbb X$

$$d_{\oplus}^{\circ}: A \circ (X \oplus Y) \to (A \circ X) \oplus Y,$$

$$d_{\otimes}^{\bullet}: (A \bullet X) \otimes Y \to A \bullet (X \otimes Y),$$

$$d_{\bullet}^{\circ}: A \circ (B \bullet X) \to B \bullet (A \circ X)$$

(日) (四) (E) (E) (E)

• The above data must satisfy some coherence conditions. For example,

$$a^*_{\circ} (A \circ d^{\circ}_{\bullet}) d^{\circ}_{\bullet} = d^{\circ}_{\bullet} (C \bullet a^*_{\circ}) d^{\circ}_{\bullet} (A \bullet d^{\circ}_{\bullet}) a^*_{\bullet} = (C \circ a^*_{\bullet}) d^{\circ}_{\bullet} a^{\circ}_{\otimes} (a^{\circ}_{\otimes} \otimes Z) a_{\otimes} = (A \circ a_{\otimes}) (a^{\circ}_{\otimes}) a_{\oplus} (a^{\bullet}_{\oplus} \oplus Z) a^{\bullet}_{\oplus} = a^{\bullet}_{\oplus} (A \bullet a_{\oplus})$$

Actions \Rightarrow Linear functor?

Proposition

 $A \bullet$ _ and $A \circ$ _ give the structure of a linear functor.

In order to prove this, we have to show that

- $A \bullet_{-}$ is a monoidal functor and $A \circ_{-}$ is a comonoidal functor.
- "Linear strengths" exist that must satisfy the coherence conditions.

Is $A \bullet_{-}$ a monoidal functor?

• For a functor to be monoidal, there are two natural transformations

$$m_{\otimes}: (A \bullet X) \otimes (A \bullet Y) \to A \bullet (X \otimes Y)$$

 $m_{\top}: \top \to (A \bullet \top)$

These must satisfy two equations.

$$egin{array}{rcl} l_{\otimes} &=& (m_{ op}\otimes 1) \; m_{\otimes} \; (A ullet l_{\otimes}) \ a_{\otimes} \; (1 \otimes m_{\otimes}) \; m_{\otimes} \; &=& (m_{\otimes}\otimes 1) \; m_{\otimes} \; (A ullet a_{\otimes}) \end{array}$$

 To prove A ● _ is a monoidal functor, we have to show that the above two equations hold.

Defining diagram of m_{\otimes} and $m_{ op}$





・ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の Q (* 35 / 49)
</p>

 $l_{\otimes} = (m_{\top} \otimes 1) \ m_{\otimes} \ (A \bullet l_{\otimes})$



• So $A \bullet_{-}$ is a monoidal functor and dually $A \circ_{-}$ is a comonoidal functor.

Linear strengths

Consider one linear strength v^R_⊕ :(A • X) ⊗ (A ∘ Y) → A ∘ (X ⊗ Y) that must satisfy the coherence conditions.

37 / 49

- For example, $(m_{\otimes} \otimes 1) v_{\oplus}^R (A \circ a_{\otimes}) = a_{\otimes} (1 \otimes v_{\oplus}^R) v_{\oplus}^R$
- $v_{\oplus}^R = a_{\otimes'}^{\circ^{-1}}$; $A \circ d_{\otimes}^{\bullet}$; $\Delta \circ 1$; a_{\circ}^* ; $A \circ e$
- $m_{\otimes} = d^{\bullet}_{\otimes}; A \bullet d^{\bullet}_{\otimes'}; a^{*}_{\bullet}; \Delta \bullet 1$

$(m_{\otimes} \otimes 1) v_{\oplus}^{R} (A \circ a_{\otimes}) = a_{\otimes} (1 \otimes v_{\oplus}^{R}) v_{\oplus}^{R}$



- Difficult to show categorically...
- Circuit diagrams are easier and they do have to satisfy the net conditions.

 $\bullet\,$ Circuit introduction and elimination rules for $\otimes\,$



 \bullet Circuit introduction and elimination rules for \ast



Copy rule



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

39 / 49

 $\bullet\,$ Circuit reduction rules for \otimes and $*\,$



 \bullet Circuit introduction and elimination rules for \circ



• Circuit elimination rule for •



• Circuit reduction and expansion rules for \circ



• Circuit expansion rule for •



• Box-eats-box rule



• Box-elimination rule



Circuit Diagram of m_{\otimes} for ullet



) ९ (२ 44 / 49

Circuit Diagram for v_{\oplus}^R



= ∽ < (~ 45 / 49

Circuit Diagram for $[(m_{\otimes} \otimes 1) v_{\oplus}^R (A \circ a_{\otimes})]$



Circuit Diagram for $[a_{\otimes} (1 \otimes v_{\oplus}^R) v_{\oplus}^R]$



So (m_⊗ ⊗ 1) v^R_⊕ (A ∘ a_⊗) = a_⊗ (1 ⊗ v^R_⊕) v^R_⊕.
A • _ and A ∘ _ give the structure of a linear functor.

Conclusion

- The greatest fixed point of a monoidal functor is monoidal.
- The fixed point of a linear functor is linear.
- The actions of linear actegories give the structure of a parameterized linear functor.

Thank you