## ACSC/STAT 3703, Actuarial Models I

# WINTER 2023 Toby Kenney

### Homework Sheet 4

### Model Solutions

### **Basic Questions**

#### 1. A distribution has survival function

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leqslant 1 \\ x^{-1}2^{-n} & \text{if } 2^n \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{4^n (n-1)(1+(n-1)(2^n-x))+2^n ((n-1)(2^n-x)-1)}{16^n (n-1)-8^n} & \text{if } 2^n - \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant x \leqslant 2^n \end{cases}$$

where  $n \ge 0$ . How does the tail weight of this distribution compare to that of a Weibull distribution with  $\tau = 0.5$  and  $\theta = 1$ , when tail-weight is assessed by

(a) Asymptotic behaviour of hazard rate.

We differentiate S(x) to get

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-2}2^{-n} & \text{if } 2^n \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \\ (n-1)\frac{4^n(n-1)+2^n}{16^n(n-1)-8^n} & \text{if } 2^n - \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant x \leqslant 2^n \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{f(x)}{S(x)} = \begin{cases} x^{-1} & \text{if } 2^n \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{4^n (n-1)^2 + 2^n (n-1)}{4^n (n-1)(1+(n-1)(2^n - x)) + 2^n ((n-1)(2^n - x) - 1)} & \text{if } 2^n - \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant x \leqslant 2^n \end{cases}$$

We see that  $\lambda(x)$  does not converge as  $x \to \infty$ .

For the Weibull distribution, we have  $S(x) = e^{-\sqrt{x}}$  and  $f(x) = 0.5x^{-0.5}e^{-\sqrt{x}}$ , so  $\lambda(x) = 0.5x^{-0.5} \to 0$ . Thus, the Weibull distribution would appear to have a heavier tail.

### (b) Existence of moments.

The kth moment of the Weibull distribution is

$$\int_0^\infty 0.5x^{k-0.5}e^{-\sqrt{x}}\,dx = \int_0^\infty u^{2k}e^{-u}$$
$$= \Gamma(2k)$$

where we have used the substitution  $x = u^2$ . Thus, all moments exist. For the distribution given with

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leqslant 1 \\ x^{-1}2^{-n} & \text{if } 2^n \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{4^n(n-1)(1+(n-1)(2^n-x))+2^n((n-1)(2^n-x)-1)}{16^n(n-1)-8^n} & \text{if } 2^n - \frac{1}{n-1} \leqslant x \leqslant 2^n \end{cases}$$

we have  $x^{-2} < S(x) < 2x^{-2}$  for all x, so the mean of the distribution exists, but the second moment does not. Therefore this distribution has the heavier tail.

2. Which coherence properties are satisfied by the following measure of risk?

$$\rho(X) = \sup x P(X > x)$$

Give a proof or a counterexample for each property.

- **Sub-additivity** This does not hold. Let X be uniform on [0, 1], and let Y = 1 X. We have that  $\rho(X) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} x(1-x) = \frac{1}{4}$  and similarly,  $\rho(Y) = \frac{1}{4}$ , but  $\rho(X + Y) = 1$ , since X + Y = 1 with probability 1.
- **Monotonicity** If X < Y, then for any x, we have P(X > x) < P(Y > x), so

$$\rho(X) < \rho(Y)$$

**Positive homogeneity** We want to show that for any a > 0,  $\rho(aX) = a\rho(X)$ . It is straightforward to see that for any x,

 $\begin{array}{l} P(aX > x) = P\left(X > \frac{x}{a}\right) \text{ so in particular } xP(aX > x) = a\frac{x}{a}P\left(X > \frac{x}{a}\right) \leqslant \\ a\sup_{y} yP(X > y) = a\rho(a). \quad \text{Conversely, } xP(X > x) = \frac{ax}{a}P(aX > \\ ax) \leqslant \frac{\rho(aX)}{a}. \quad \text{Therefore } \rho(aX) = a\rho(X). \end{array}$ 

- **Translation invariance** This is not true. Let X follow a uniform distribution on [0, 1]. Then  $\rho(X) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} x(1-x) = \frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\rho(X+1) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (x+1)(1-x) = 1 \neq 1 + \frac{1}{4}$ .
- 3. Calculate the TVaR at the 95% level of a distribution with survival function  $S_X(x) = xe^{-x^2}$  for x > 1. [You may need to use numerical methods to find the VaR.]

Let a be the 95th percentile of the distribution. By definition, this is the solution to  $ae^{-a^2} = 0.05$ , which numerically is a = 1.9084020980.

The TVaR is therefore

$$a + \int_{a}^{\infty} S(x) dx = a + \int_{a}^{\infty} x e^{-x^{2}} dx$$
$$= a + \left[ -\frac{1}{2} e^{-x^{2}} \right]_{a}^{\infty}$$
$$= a + \frac{e^{-a^{2}}}{2}$$
$$= a + \frac{0.025}{a}$$
$$= 1.92150206264$$

- 4. Which of the following density functions with parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are scale distributions? Which have scale parameters?
  - $\begin{aligned} &(i) \ f(x) = Cx^{1-\alpha}(x+1)^{1-\beta}\gamma^{\alpha+\beta} \\ &(ii) \ f(x) = Cx^{\alpha-1}\gamma^{-\alpha}\gamma^{\frac{x}{\gamma}}e^{-\frac{x\log(x)}{\gamma}} \\ &(iii) \ f(x) = C\alpha^2\beta^3\gamma^{-1}(x+\alpha)^{-2}(x+\beta)^{-3}e^{-\frac{x}{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$

(i) is not a scale distribution because the constant 1 is not affected by parameters, so for example

$$f_{2X}(x) = 2^{-1} f_X\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 2Cx^{1-\alpha}2^{\alpha-1}(x+2)^{1-\beta}2^{\beta-1}\gamma^{\alpha+\beta}$$

which is not from this parametric distribution family.

(ii) is a scale distribution, and  $\gamma$  is a scale parameter, since

$$f_{cX}(x) = c^{-1} f_X\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) = c^{-1} C x^{\alpha - 1} c^{1 - \alpha} \gamma^{-\alpha} \gamma^{\frac{x}{c\gamma}} e^{-\frac{x(\log(x) - \log(c))}{c\gamma}} = C x^{\alpha - 1} (c\gamma)^{-\alpha} (c\gamma)^{\frac{x}{c\gamma}} e^{-\frac{x\log(x)}{c\gamma}}$$

which is the same distribution with  $\gamma$  replaced by  $c\gamma$ .

(iii) is a scale distribution, but with no scale parameter. We have

$$f_{cX}(x) = c^{-1} f_X\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$$
$$= Cc^{-1} \alpha^2 \beta^3 \gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{c} + \frac{c\alpha}{c}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{x}{c} + \frac{c\beta}{c}\right)^{-3} e^{-\frac{x}{c\gamma}}$$
$$= C(c\alpha)^2 (c\beta)^3 (c\gamma)^{-1} (x + c\alpha)^{-2} (x + c\beta)^{-3} e^{-\frac{x}{c\gamma}}$$

which is the same distribution but with  $\alpha$ , *beta* and  $\gamma$  replaced by  $c\alpha$ ,  $c\beta$  and  $c\gamma$ .

5. An insurance company observes the following sample of claims (in thousands): 0.5 1.4 1.6 2.1 2.8 3.9 5.6

They use a kernel density model with the following kernel

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x| & \text{if } |x| < 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What is the TVaR at the 95% level of the fitted distribution?

There are 7 sample points, and the kernels about the smallest 6 all have support contained in  $(-\infty, 4.9]$ , so  $S(5.6) = \frac{1}{14}$ , and for 5.6 < x < 6.6, we have

$$S(x) = \frac{1}{7} \int_{x}^{6.6} (1-x) \, dx = \frac{1}{7} \frac{(6.6-x)^2}{2}$$

Therefore, the VaR at the 95% level is the solution to

$$\frac{1}{7} \frac{(6.6 - x)^2}{2} = 0.05$$
  
(6.6 - x)<sup>2</sup> = 0.7  
$$x = 6.6 - \sqrt{0.7}$$
  
= 5.76333997347

The TVaR is therefore

$$5.76333997347 + \frac{1}{0.05} \int_{5.76333997347}^{6.6} \frac{(6.6-x)^2}{14} dx = 5.76333997347 - 20 \left[ \frac{(6.6-x)^3}{42} \right]_{5.76333997347}^{6.6} = 5.76333997347 + 20 \frac{(6.6-5.76333997347)^3}{42} = 6.04222664898$$

# **Standard Questions**

6. A Pareto distribution with  $\alpha$  and  $\theta = 1$  has mean  $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$  and variance  $\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$ . You can simulate n random variables following this Pareto distribution with the command

sim=runif(n)^(-1/alpha)-1

[This is simulating a uniform distribution then transforming the result.]

Based on the central limit theorem, if we take the average of a sample of n Pareto random variables, this should approximately follow a normal distribution with mean  $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$  and variance  $\frac{\alpha}{n(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$ . Plot the distribution

of this sample average for  $\alpha = 10$ ,  $\alpha = 2.5$  and  $\alpha = 2.2$ , for sample sizes 400, 1000, and 10000, and compare it with the normal distribution.

We run the simulations using the following code

```
library(ggplot2)
paretoCLTplot <-function(alpha,n,nsamp){</pre>
### alpha is the pareto parameter
### n is the sample size
### m is the number of samples
    samp<-runif(n*nsamp)^(-1/alpha)-1</pre>
    ## simulate Pareto Random Varibles
    samples <-matrix(samp,n,nsamp)</pre>
    means <- colMeans (samples)</pre>
    ## arranging into a matrix and using the column means function is
    ## an efficient way to calculate the sample means. You could also
    ## use a loop.
    dm < -1/(alpha - 1)
    dv<-alpha/(alpha-1)^2/(alpha-2)</pre>
    x \le eq_{len(100000) * 0.0001 * sqrt(dv/n) + dm - 5 * sqrt(dv/n)}
    ## x covers 5 standard deviations either side of the mean
    return(
        ggplot(data=data.frame(x=means),mapping=aes(x=x))+
        geom_density()+
        geom_line(data=data.frame(x=x,y=dnorm(x-dm,sd=sqrt(dv/n))),
                   mapping=aes(x=x,y=y),
                   colour="red")+
        scale_y_continuous(name="f(x)")+
        theme(axis.title=element_text(size=18),
             axis.text=element_text(size=16),
             plot.title=element_text(size=18, hjust=0.5))
    )
}
for(alpha in c(10,2.5,2.2)){
    for(ss in c(400,1000,10000)){
        pdf(paste("alpha", alpha, "ssize", ss, ".pdf", sep=""))
        print(paretoCLTplot(alpha,ss,10000))
        dev.off()
    }
}
```



7. An insurance company uses a kernel density model for losses, using a gamma kernel with a fixed value of  $\alpha = 10$  and  $\theta = \frac{x}{10}$  for each observed sample x. The largest eight losses in the sample were

```
$ 5,031,900
$ 2,528,000
$ 2,200,600
$ 1,511,800
$ 1,273,400
$ 1,152,400
$ 947,800
$ 789,400
```

Using this model, the Var at the 95% level is \$2,098,300 and the TVaR at the same level is \$2,180,610. How many claims were in the sample?

[You may find it helpful to use the pgamma function in R to find the distribution function of a gamma distribution.]

Let n be the number of claims in the sample. The largest four claims are as given, and the remaining claims are all at most \$1,511,800. We have that the probability that the kernel density model is less than \$2,098,300 is 0.95.

Let a = 2098300. From the TVaR, we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{a}^{\infty}(x-a)\frac{x^{9}e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10}\Gamma(10)}\,dx = 2180610 - 2098300 = 82310$$

We also have

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} (x-a) \frac{x^{9} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10} \Gamma(10)} dx = \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{x^{10} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10} \Gamma(10)} dx - a \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{x^{9} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10} \Gamma(10)} dx$$
$$= 10\theta_{i} \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{x^{10} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{11} \Gamma(11)} dx - a \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{x^{9} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10} \Gamma(10)} dx$$

The first integral is the probability that a Gamma distribution with shape parameter  $\alpha = 11$  exceeds a.

For the largest eight samples, we have

$x_i$	$P(X_i > 2098300)$	$\int_{a}^{\infty} (x-a) \frac{x^9 e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_i}}}{(\theta_i)^{10} \Gamma(10)}  dx$
\$ 5,031,900	0.01063134	2.936478e + 06
\$ 2,528,000	0.32125681	5.602967e + 05
\$ 2,200,600	0.48273624	3.251799e + 05
\$ 1,511,800	0.88477086	3.609489e + 04
1,273,400	0.96588111	7.992468e + 03
1,152,400	0.98626386	2.739984e + 03
\$ 947,800	0.99861859	2.044913e+02
\$ 789,400	0.99992307	8.766562e + 00

From this table, we see that

$$3868995 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a}^{\infty} (x-a) \frac{x^9 e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_i}}}{(\theta_i)^{10} \Gamma(10)} \leqslant 3868995 + 8.766562(n-8)$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{a}^{\infty} (x-a)\frac{x^{9}e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_{i}}}}{(\theta_{i})^{10}\Gamma(10)}\,dx = 82310$$

this becomes

$$\frac{3868995}{n} \leqslant 82310 \leqslant \frac{3868924.8675}{n} + 8.766562$$
$$\frac{3868995}{82310} \leqslant n \leqslant \frac{3868924.8675}{82310} + \frac{8.766562}{82310}n$$

 $47.0051634066 \leqslant n \leqslant 47.0043113534 + 0.000106506645608n$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} 0.999893493354n \leqslant 47.0043113534 \\ n \leqslant 47.0093181582 \end{array}$ 

Thus we see n = 47.