Hall's Condition

Given a collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_n , a System of Distinct Representatives (SDR) is a collection of distinct elements x_1, \ldots, x_n so that, for $1 \le i \le n$, $x_i \in A_i$.

Given an index set $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$A(J) = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j,$$

so A(J) is the union of all sets whose index is in J.

A collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_n satisfies Hall's Condition (HC) if,

for every index set $J \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}, |A(J)| \ge |J|.$

Hall's Theorem

Hall's Theorem: For every collection of sets collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_n , there exists a System of Distinct Representatives if and only if Hall's Condition holds.

Proof. SDR \Rightarrow HC. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an SDR for the collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_n . Fix $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and let

$$S = \{x_j : j \in J\}.$$

Since all x_i are distinct, |S| = |J|. By definition of an SDR, $S \subseteq A(J)$, so $|S| \leq |A(J)|$. Thus $|J| \leq |A(J)|$.

Hall's Theorem: For every collection of sets collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_n , there exists a System of Distinct Representatives if and only if Hall's Condition holds.

Proof. $HC \Rightarrow SDR$. Induction on n.

Base case: n = 1. HC implies that $|A_1| \ge 1$, so $A_1 \ne \emptyset$. Thus we can choose $x_1 \in A_1$, which is an SDR.

Induction step. Fix n > 1. Suppose HC \Rightarrow SDR for all collections of less than n sets. Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be a collection of sets for which HC holds. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: For all non-empty $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, |A(J)| > |J|.

Case 2: There exists non-empty $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ so that |A(J) = |J|.

Case 1: For all non-empty $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, |A(J)| > |J|. Pick $x_n \in A_n$. $(A_n \text{ is not empty because of HC applied to } J = \{n\}$).

Remove x_n from all other sets. Formally, let $A'_j = A_j - \{x_n\}$ for all $1 \le j \le n-1$.

Claim: A'_1, \ldots, A'_{n-1} satisfies HC.

Proof of claim: take $J \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. Then $A'(J) = A(J) - \{x_n\}$, so $|A'(J)| \ge |A(J)| - 1$. By assumption of this case, $|A(J)| \ge |J| + 1$. Thus $|A'(J)| \ge |J|$.

Therefore, an SDR for A'_1, \ldots, A'_{n-1} exists, by the induction hypothesis, and none of the representatives equals x_n . Adding x_n gives an SDR for the original collection.

Case 2: There exists non-empty $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ so that |A(J) = |J|. Let $\overline{J} = \{1, 2, ..., n\} - J$.

The collection of sets A_j , $j \in J$ satisfies Hall's condition, and, since J is a strict subset of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, the collection contains less than n sets. So, by the induction hypothesis, we can find an SDR for this collection. Note that all elements in A(J) must be part of this SDR.

Remove the elements of this SDR from all remaining sets. Formally, for all $j \in \overline{J}$, let $A'_j = A_j - A(J)$.

Claim: The collection of sets A'_{j} , $j \in \overline{J}$ satisfies HC.

Assuming the claim, by the induction hypothesis there exists an SDR for A'_j , $j \in \overline{J}$. By construction, this SDR does not contain any elements from A(J). Combining the two SDRs gives an SDR for the original collection.

Case 2: There exists non-empty $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ so that |A(J) = |J|. Let $\overline{J} = \{1, 2, ..., n\} - J$.

For all $j \in \overline{J}$, let $A'_j = A_j - A(J)$.

Claim: The collection of sets A'_{j} , $j \in \overline{J}$ satisfies HC.

Proof of claim: take $K \subseteq \overline{J}$. Suppose by contradiction that |A'(K)| < |K|.

Consider $A(K \cup J)$. Note that

 $A(K) \subseteq A'(K) \cup A(J)$, so $A(K \cup J) = A(K) \cup A(J) = A'(K) \cup A(J)$.

Since A'(K) and A(J) are disjoint, we have

 $|A(K \cup J)| \le |A'(K)| + |A(J)| < |K| + |J|,$

since |A(J)| = |J| by assumption of this case. This contradicts the original assumption that HC holds for A_1, \ldots, A_n .