

MATH 582, INTRODUCTION TO SET THEORY, WINTER 1999

Answers to Problem Set 6 (Revised)

Problem 4.19 We want to prove the claim: for all natural numbers d and m , if $d \neq 0$, then there exists natural numbers q, r such that $m = d \cdot q + r$ and $r < d$. Fix some $d \neq 0$; we will prove the claim by induction on m . For the *base case*, notice that if $m = 0$, then we can take $q = r = 0$. Indeed, we have $0 = d \cdot 0 + 0$ by (M1) and (A1), and also $0 < d$, because $d \neq 0$. For the *induction step*, assume the claim holds for m . So there exist some $q, r \in \omega$ with $m = d \cdot q + r$ and $r < d$. Note that this implies $r^+ \leq d$. We distinguish two cases: Case 1: $r^+ < d$. In this case, we have $m^+ = d \cdot q + r^+$ by (A2), and we are done. Case 2: $r^+ = d$. In this case, we have $m^+ = d \cdot q + d = d \cdot q^+$ by (M2), so $m^+ = d \cdot q^+ + 0$, and since $0 < d$, we are also done.

Problem 4.20 Recall from p.83 that for natural numbers n and k , one has $k \subseteq n \iff k \in n^+$. By taking the negations of these statements and using trichotomy, one also gets that $n \in k \iff n^+ \subseteq k$. We will use this fact in the following proof.

Suppose A is a nonempty subset of ω and $\bigcup A = A$. To prove $A = \omega$, we show that A is inductive. *Base case:* Since A is nonempty, there is some natural number $k \in A$. Either $k = 0$, in which case we have $0 \in A$ as desired. Otherwise, $0 \in k \in A$, hence $0 \in \bigcup A = A$. *Induction step:* Suppose $n \in A$. Then, since $A = \bigcup A$, we have $n \in \bigcup A$, which implies $n \in k$ for some $k \in A$. Since A is a set of natural numbers, k is a natural number. By the above observation, we have $n^+ \subseteq k$. There are two cases: Case 1: $n^+ = k$, thus $n^+ \in A$ and we are done. Case 2: $n^+ \in k \in A$, hence $n^+ \in \bigcup A = A$, and again we are done. Thus A is inductive, hence $A = \omega$.

Problem 4.26 We proceed by induction on n . Let

$$T = \{n \in \omega \mid \text{for all } f : n^+ \rightarrow \omega, \text{ran } f \text{ has a largest element}\}.$$

We will show that T is inductive. First consider any $f : 0^+ \rightarrow \omega$. Since $0^+ = \{0\}$ is a singleton, $\text{ran } f = \{f(0)\}$ has exactly one element, which is automatically largest. This takes care of the base case. Now suppose that $n \in T$. To show that $n^+ \in T$, consider any $f : n^{++} \rightarrow \omega$. We have to show that $\text{ran } f$ has a largest element. Let $g = f|_{n^+}$ be the restriction of f to n^+ ; thus $g : n^+ \rightarrow \omega$. By induction hypothesis, we know that $\text{ran } g$ has a largest element, say, k . Also, $\text{ran } f = \text{ran } g \cup \{f(n^+)\}$. We distinguish two cases: Case 1: $k < f(n^+)$. In this case, $f(n^+)$ is the largest element of $\text{ran } f$. Case 2: $f(n^+) \leq k$. In this case, k is the largest element of $\text{ran } f$. In either case, $\text{ran } f$ has a largest element, and since f was arbitrary, it follows that $n^+ \in T$. Thus, T is inductive, which proves the claim.

Lemma. *If $n, k \in \omega$ and $n \subseteq k$, then there exists $x \in \omega$ with $k = x + n$.*

Proof. By induction on k . If $k = 0$ then $n \subseteq k$ implies $n = 0$, and we can take $x = 0$. For the induction step, suppose the claim holds for k , and suppose $n \subseteq k^+$. Then either $n = k^+$, in which case one can take $x = 0$. Or otherwise $n \in k^+$, thus $n \subseteq k$, and we can find x with $k = x + n$ by induction hypothesis. Then $k^+ = x^+ + n$, and we are done. \square

Problem 4.37

- (a) For fixed $m, n \in \omega$, define the set $M = \{k \in m + n \mid k \notin n\}$. We claim that M has m elements. Let $\phi : m \rightarrow M$ be the map that is defined by $\phi(x) = x + n$. We must show that ϕ is a well-defined map, and that it is a bijection. To see that ϕ is well-defined, we must check that $x + n \in M$ for all $x \in m$. Note that if $x \in m$, then $x + n \in m + n$ by Theorem 4N. Also, $x \notin 0$ and thus $x + n \notin 0 + n = n$, again by Theorem 4N. Thus, $x + n \in M$, and ϕ is well-defined. Also, ϕ is one-to-one by Corollary 4P. To see that ϕ is onto M , take any $k \in M$. Then $k \notin n$, thus $n \subseteq k$ by trichotomy. By the Lemma, there exists $x \in \omega$ with $k = x + n$. Since $k = x + n \in m + n$, it follows that $x \in m$ by Theorem 4N, thus $k = \phi(x)$. This shows that ϕ is onto.

Notice that M and n are disjoint, by definition of M . We claim that $m + n = M \cup n$. For the right-to-left inclusion, notice that $M \subseteq m + n$ by definition. Also, $0 \subseteq m$, thus $n = n + 0 \subseteq n + m$ by Theorem 4N and Corollary 4P, thus $n \subseteq n + m$ by Corollary 4M. So we have $M \cup n \subseteq m + n$. For the left-to-right inclusion, take any $k \in m + n$. In case $k \in n$, we are done, otherwise $k \notin n$, which implies $k \in M$. This shows $m + n \subseteq M \cup n$.

Now we show the claim of part (a). Assume A, B are disjoint of m , respectively n , elements. Let $f : m \rightarrow A$ and $g : n \rightarrow B$ be bijections, and let $\phi : m \rightarrow M$ be the bijection from above. Let $h : M \rightarrow A$ be the bijection given by $h = f \circ \phi^{-1}$. Using the fact that M and n are disjoint and that A and B are disjoint, it follows easily that $h \cup g : M \cup n \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a bijection. From $m + n = M \cup n$, it follows that $A \cup B$ has $m + n$ elements.

- (b) We first claim that $m \times n$ has $m \cdot n$ elements. Define $\psi : m \times n \rightarrow m \cdot n$ by $\psi(x, y) = x \cdot n + y$. We claim that ψ is well-defined, and that it is a bijection. For well-definedness, we must check that $\psi(x, y) \in m \cdot n$ whenever $x \in m$ and $y \in n$. But $x \in m$ implies $x^+ \subseteq m$, and thus $x^+ \cdot n \subseteq m \cdot n$. The last step follows by Theorem 4N, if $n \neq 0$, and by (M1) if $n = 0$. Now $y \in n$ implies $x \cdot n + y \in x \cdot n + n = x^+ \cdot n \subseteq m \cdot n$. Thus $\psi(x, y) \in m \cdot n$, and ψ is well-defined.

To show that ψ is one-to-one, assume that $\psi(x, y) = \psi(x', y')$ for some $x, x' \in m$ and $y, y' \in n$. Then $x \cdot n + y = x' \cdot n + y'$. We must show $x = x'$ and $y = y'$. First, assume (for the sake of deriving a contradiction) that $x \neq x'$. Then either $x \in x'$ or $x' \in x$ by trichotomy; we may assume without loss of generality that $x \in x'$. It follows that $x^+ \subseteq x'$, thus $x^+ \cdot n \subseteq x' \cdot n$. This implies $x \cdot n + y \in x \cdot n + n = x^+ \cdot n \subseteq x' \cdot n \subseteq x' \cdot n + y'$, contradicting $x \cdot n + y = x' \cdot n + y'$. Thus, it follows that $x = x'$. Now from $x \cdot n + y = x \cdot n + y'$ we can get $y = y'$ by cancellation (Cor. 4P).

Next, we show that ψ is onto $m \cdot n$. If $n = 0$, then this is trivial, since $m \cdot n = 0$ in this case. Thus, assume $n \neq 0$ and take any $k \in m \cdot n$. By Problem 4.19, there exist numbers x and y such that $k = x \cdot n + y$ and $y \in n$. We have $x \cdot n \subseteq k \in m \cdot n$, and thus $x \in m$ by Theorem 4N. It follows that $k = \psi(x, y)$. Thus ψ is a bijection.

Finally, we show the claim of part (b). Assume A has m elements and B has n elements. Let $f : m \rightarrow A$ and $g : n \rightarrow B$ be bijections. Define $h : m \times n \rightarrow A \times B$ by $h(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle f(x), g(y) \rangle$. One checks easily that h is a bijection. Then $h \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a bijection $m \cdot n \rightarrow A \times B$, which proves that $A \times B$ has $m \cdot n$ elements.

Here is an alternative, easier proof of Problem 4.37 which uses induction.

- (a) We show this claim by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $B = \emptyset$, and hence $A \cup B = A$ has $m = m + n$ elements. For the induction step, assume the claim holds for n . Suppose A has m elements, B has n^+ elements, and A and B are disjoint. Then there exists some one-to-one and onto function $f : n^+ \rightarrow B$. Let $B' = f[[n]]$; then clearly $B = B' \cup f(n)$ and this union is disjoint. Moreover, the function $f|_n : n \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one and onto B' , so that B' has n elements. Since B' is still disjoint from A , the set $A \cup B'$ has $m + n$ elements by induction hypothesis. Thus, there is some one-to-one and onto function $g : m + n \rightarrow A \cup B'$. Let $h = g \cup \langle m + n, f(n) \rangle$, then h is a one-to-one function of $m + n^+$ onto $A \cup B$, as desired.
- (b) Again, we show the claim by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $B = \emptyset$, and hence $A \times B = \emptyset$, which has $0 = m \cdot n$ elements. For the induction step, assume the claim holds for n . Suppose A has m elements and B has n^+ elements. Then there exists some one-to-one and onto function $f : n^+ \rightarrow B$. As before, let $B' = f[[n]]$; then again $B = B' \cup f(n)$, this union is disjoint, and B' has n elements. By induction hypothesis, $A \times B'$ has $m \cdot n$ elements. Since A has m elements, and the set A is in one-to-one correspondence with the set $A \times \{f(n)\}$, the latter set also has m elements. One also has $A \times B = A \times B' \cup A \times \{f(n)\}$ (by Problem 3.2(a)), moreover, the latter union is disjoint, and so by (a), $A \times B$ has $m \cdot n + m = m \cdot n^+$ elements, as desired.

Problem 5.1 through 5.3 We must check whether each of the following functions from $\omega \times \omega$ to $\omega \times \omega$ is compatible with the relation \sim , which was defined by $\langle m, n \rangle \sim \langle m', n' \rangle$ iff $m + n' = m' + n$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(\langle m, n \rangle) &= \langle m + n, n \rangle, \\ g(\langle m, n \rangle) &= \langle m, m \rangle, \\ h(\langle m, n \rangle) &= \langle n, m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The function f is not compatible: for instance $\langle 0, 0 \rangle \sim \langle 1, 1 \rangle$, but $\langle 0 + 0, 0 \rangle \not\sim \langle 1 + 1, 1 \rangle$. The function g is trivially compatible, because for all m, m' , one has $\langle m, m \rangle \sim \langle m', m' \rangle$. The function h is also compatible, because $\langle m, n \rangle \sim \langle m', n' \rangle$ implies $m + n' = m' + n$ implies $n + m' = n' + m$ implies $\langle n, m \rangle \sim \langle n', m' \rangle$.

Problem 5.7 Let $a = [\langle x, y \rangle]$ and $b = [\langle w, z \rangle]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot_z (-b) &= [\langle x, y \rangle] \cdot_z (-[\langle w, z \rangle]) \\ &= [\langle x, y \rangle] \cdot_z [\langle z, w \rangle] \\ &= [\langle xz + yw, xw + yz \rangle], \\ (-a) \cdot_z b &= (-[\langle x, y \rangle]) \cdot_z [\langle w, z \rangle] \\ &= [\langle y, x \rangle] \cdot_z [\langle w, z \rangle] \\ &= [\langle yw + xz, yz + xw \rangle] \\ &= [\langle xz + yw, xw + yz \rangle], \\ -(a \cdot_z b) &= -([\langle x, y \rangle] \cdot_z [\langle w, z \rangle]) \\ &= -([\langle xw + yz, xz + yw \rangle]) \\ &= [\langle xz + yw, xw + yz \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$