# ACSC/STAT 4703, Actuarial Models II 

 Fall 2017Toby Kenney
Homework Sheet 3
Model Solutions

## Basic Questions

1. A homeowner's house is valued at \$420,000, but is insured at \$270,000. The insurer requires $75 \%$ coverage for full insurance. The home sustains $\$ 3,100$ from a fire. The policy has a deductible of $\$ 2,000$, which decreases linearly to zero when the total cost of the loss is $\$ 6,000$. How much does the insurance company reimburse?
The proportion of coinsurance is $\frac{270000}{420000 \times 0.75}=\frac{6}{7}$ The deductible is $2000 \frac{6000-3100}{6000-2000}=$ $\$ 1,450$. The insurance therefore pays $(3100-1450) \times \frac{6}{7}=\frac{990}{7}=\$ 141.43$.
2. A homeowners insurance company has three types of coverages with different expected loss ratios, has the following data on recent claims:

| Policy Type | Policy <br> Year | Earned <br> Premiums | Expected <br> Loss Ratio | Losses paid <br> to date |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Homeowner's | 2014 | $\$ 400,000$ | 0.72 | $\$ 270,000$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 480,000$ | 0.72 | $\$ 130,000$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 590,000$ | 0.74 | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Tennant's | 2014 | $\$ 70,000$ | 0.83 | $\$ 58,600$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 72,000$ | 0.83 | $\$ 44,300$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 75,000$ | 0.83 | $\$ 29,400$ |
| Fire insurance | 2014 | $\$ 300,000$ | 0.65 | $\$ 126,000$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 350,000$ | 0.65 | $\$ 85,000$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 380,000$ | 0.67 | $\$ 17,000$ |

Calculate the loss reserves at the end of 2016.
We use the expected loss ratios to calculate the expected total payments for each policy year:

| Policy Type | Policy <br> Year | Expected <br> Payments | Losses paid <br> to date | Reserves <br> needed |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Homeowner's | 2014 | $\$ 288,000$ | $\$ 270,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 345,600$ | $\$ 130,000$ | $\$ 215,600$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 436,600$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 366,600$ |
| Tennant's | 2014 | $\$ 58,100$ | $\$ 58,600$ | $\$ 0$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 59,760$ | $\$ 44,300$ | $\$ 15,460$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 62,250$ | $\$ 29,400$ | $\$ 32,850$ |
| Fire insurance | 2014 | $\$ 195,000$ | $\$ 126,000$ | $\$ 69,000$ |
|  | 2015 | $\$ 227,500$ | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 142,500$ |
|  | 2016 | $\$ 254,600$ | $\$ 17,000$ | $\$ 237,600$ |

The total reserves are therefore $\$ 1,097,610$.
3. The following table shows the paid losses on claims from one line of business of an insurance company over the past 6 years.

|  |  | Development year |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accident year | Earned premiums | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2011 | 3,156 | 870 | 95 | 253 | 727 | -425 | 851 |
| 2012 | 3,930 | 844 | 184 | 709 | 409 | 300 |  |
| 2013 | 3,248 | 1,394 | 258 | 184 | -3 |  |  |
| 2014 | 4,955 | 1,291 | 54 | 856 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 4,142 | 1,422 | 579 |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 4,806 | 1,754 |  |  |  |  |  |

Assume that all payments on claims arising from accidents in 2011 have now been settled. Estimate the future payments arising each year from open claims arising from accidents in each calendar year using
(a) The loss development triangle method

We first calculate the cumulative loss development:

|  | Development year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Accident year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2011 | 870 | 965 | 1,218 | 1,945 | 1,520 | 2,371 |  |
| 2012 | 844 | 1,028 | 1,737 | 2,146 | 2,446 |  |  |
| 2013 | 1,394 | 1,652 | 1,836 | 1,833 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,291 | 1,345 | 2,201 |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 1,422 | 2,001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 1,754 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The loss development factors are therefore given by
$\frac{6991}{5821}=1.20099639237$
$\frac{6992}{4990}=1.40120240481$
$\frac{5924}{4791}=1.23648507618$
$\frac{3966}{4091}=0.969445123442$
$\frac{2371}{1520}=1.55986842105$

We use these factors to estimate the following developed losses

|  | Development year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accident year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,371 |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  | 2,446 | 3,815 |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  | 1,833 | 1,777 | 2,772 |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 2,201 | 2,722 | 2,638 | 4,115 |  |
| 2015 |  | 2,001 | 2,804 | 3,467 | 3,361 | 5,243 |  |
| 2016 | 1,754 | 2,107 | 2,952 | 3,650 | 3,538 | 5,519 |  |

If we use the average, the loss development factors are:

```
\(\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{965}{870}+\frac{1028}{844}+\frac{1652}{1394}+\frac{1345}{1291}+\frac{2001}{1422}\right)=1.19225696533\)
\(\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1218}{965}+\frac{1737}{1028}+\frac{1836}{1652}+\frac{2201}{1345}\right)=1.42491906345\)
\(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1945}{1218}+\frac{2146}{1737}+\frac{1833}{1836}\right)=1.27690319572\)
\(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1520}{1945}+\frac{2446}{2146}\right)=0.96064298498\)
\(\frac{2371}{1520}=1.55986842105\)
```

(b) The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method with expected loss ratio 0.76 .

Using an expected loss ratio of 0.76 , the expected ultimate losses are:

| Accident year | Expected ultimate losses |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2011 | 2398.56 |
| 2012 | 2986.80 |
| 2013 | 2468.48 |
| 2014 | 3765.80 |
| 2015 | 3147.92 |
| 2016 | 3652.56 |

Using these and the loss development factors calculated above, the expected payments are

|  | Development year |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accident year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2011 | 153.21 | 367.29 | 303.36 | -48.46 | 860.89 |  |
| 2012 | 190.79 | 457.37 | 377.75 | -60.35 | 1072.02 |  |
| 2013 | 157.68 | 378.00 | 312.20 | -49.88 | 885.99 |  |
| 2014 | 240.55 | 576.66 | 476.28 | -76.09 | 1351.62 |  |
| 2015 | 201.08 | 482.04 | 398.13 | -63.61 | 1129.85 |  |
| 2016 | 233.32 | 559.32 | 461.96 | -73.80 | 1310.98 |  |

Using the average to calculate loss development factors, the proportion of total losses paid in each year is

| Development year | Proportion of losses |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.307632333161 |
| 1 | 0.059144458813 |
| 2 | 0.155850450938 |
| 3 | 0.144717153734 |
| 4 | -0.026264683444 |
| 5 | 0.358920286798 |


|  | Development year |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accident year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  | -64.83 | 885.99 |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 544.98 | -98.91 | 1351.62 |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 590.60 | 455.56 | -82.68 | 1129.85 |
| 2015 |  | 49.95 | 528.59 | -95.93 | 1310.98 |  |
| 2016 | 216.03 | 569.25 |  |  |  |  |

4. An actuary is reviewing the following claims data:
No. of closed claims

Total paid losses on closed claims (000's)

| Acc. | Development Year Ult. |  |  |  |  |  | Acc. <br> Year | Development Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2012 | 250 | 35 | 70 | 395 |  | 400 | 2012 |  | 2,087 | 2,263 |  | 2 |  |
| 2013 | 280 | 85 | 00 |  |  | 460 | 2013 | 1,509 | 2,641 | 2,948 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 330 | 95 |  |  |  | 500 | 2014 | 1,745 | 3,214 | 3,754 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 320 |  |  |  |  | 540 | 2015 | 3,094 | 4 3,244 |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 360 |  |  |  |  | 580 | 2016 | 2,824 |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Calculate tables of percentage of claims closed and cumulative average losses.
Percentage of claims closed
Average paid losses per claim on closed claims ( 000 's)

| Acc. | Development Year |  | Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $0 \quad 1$ | $2 \quad 3 \quad 4$ | Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2012 | 62.583 .75 | 92.598 .75100 | 2012 | 2,892 | 6,230 |  | 7,144 |  |
| 2013 | 60.8783 .70 | 86.9697 .83 | 2013 | 5,389 | 6,860 |  | 11,680 |  |
| 2014 | $66 \quad 79$ | 94 | 2014 | 5,288 | 8,137 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 59.2685 .19 |  | 2015 | 9,669 | 7,052 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 62.07 |  | 2016 | 7,844 |  |  |  |  |

(b) Adjust the total loss table to use the current disposal rate.

The current disposal rate is given by the last number in each row in the percentage of claims closed table - that is

| Acc. | Development Year |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Year | $0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 34$ |  |
| Disposal rate 62.0785 .199497 .83 | 100 |  |

We therefore adjust each entry in the total claims table by multiplying by the following factors:

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2012 | $\frac{62.07}{62.5}$ | $\frac{85.19}{83.75}$ | $\frac{94}{92.5}$ | $\frac{97.83}{98.75}$ | $\frac{100}{100}$ |
| 2013 | $\frac{62.07}{60.87}$ | $\frac{85.19}{83.70}$ | $\frac{94}{86.96}$ | 97.83 |  |
| 27.83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | $\frac{62.07}{66}$ | $\frac{85.19}{79}$ | $\frac{94}{94}$ |  |  |
| 2015 | $\frac{62.07}{59.26}$ | $\frac{85.19}{85.19}$ |  |  |  |
| 2016 | $\frac{62.07}{62.07}$ |  |  |  |  |

The adjusted payments are:

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |$\quad 4$.

(c) Use the chain ladder method to estimate claim development based on the adjusted numbers. Compare this to the chain ladder method on aggregate payments on closed claims.
For the adjusted payments, the loss-development factors are
$\frac{11521}{7139}=1.61381145819$
$\frac{9241}{8277}=1.11646731908$
$\frac{8052}{5487}=1.46746856206$
$\frac{4783}{2796}=1.71065808298$
These result in the following total cumulative loss payments:

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $0 \quad 1$ | 2 | 23 | 4 |
| 2013 |  |  |  | 8991.22 |
| 2014 |  |  | 5508.88 | 9423.80 |
| 2015 |  | 3621.82 | 5314.91 | 9091.99 |
| 2016 | 4557.40 | 5088.19 | 7466.76 | 12773.08 |

For un-adjusted payments on closed claims, the development factors are
$\frac{11186}{7771}=1.58195446189$
$\frac{8965}{7942}=1.12880886427$
$\frac{8078}{5211}=1.55018230666$
$\frac{4783}{2822}=1.694897236$

These result in the following total cumulative loss payments

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2013 |  |  |  | 8908.38 |
| 2014 |  |  | 5819.38 | 9863.26 |
| 2015 |  | 3661.86 | 5676.54 | 9621.16 |
| 2016 | 4467.44 | 5042.89 | 7817.39 | 13249.68 |

Using the average, the loss development factors on adjusted data are:
$1.95411639968,1.11736845646,1.4324260242,1.71065808298$
So the esimated claim development is

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2013 |  |  | 8991 |  |
| 2014 |  | 5377 | 9199 |  |
| 2015 |  | 3625 | 5192 | 8882 |
| 2016 | 5518 | 6166 | 8833 | 15109 |

Using the unadjusted data, the loss development factors are:
$1.88176602337,1.12286345271,1.51496044863,1.694897236$ So the esimated claim development is

| Acc. | Development Year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2013 |  |  | 8908 |  |
| 2014 |  | 5687 | 9639 |  |
| 2015 |  | 3643 | 5518 | 9353 |
| 2016 | 5314 | 5967 | 9040 | 15322 |

## Standard Questions

5. An insurance company insures 10,000 homes. Each home makes a claim with probability 0.02. If a home makes a claim, the loss distribution of the claim is a mixture distribution: with probability 0.95, the loss amount follows an exponential distribution with mean $\$ 5,000$. With probability 0.05, the loss amount follows an exponential distribution with mean \$300,000. The insurance company sets its premium at $110 \%$ of expected claims. What policy limit should it set to ensure that the probability that aggregate claims exceed aggregate premiums is less than 0.001? [Note that changes to the policy limit will change the premium.]
For an exponential random variable $X$ with mean $\theta$, the expectation of the limited loss random variable $X \wedge u$ is given by $\mathbb{E}(X \wedge u)=\int_{0}^{u} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} d x=$ $\theta\left(1-e^{-\frac{u}{\theta}}\right)$. If the policy limit is $u$, then the expected claim per policy is

$$
0.02\left(0.95 \times 5000\left(1-e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}\right)+0.05 \times 30000\left(1-e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)=125-95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-30 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right.
$$

The premium is therefore $110 \%$ of this, which is $137.5-104.5 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-$ $33 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}$. The variance of the limited loss random variable (per loss) is
given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left((X \wedge u)^{2}\right)= & \int_{0}^{u} x^{2}\left(\frac{0.95}{5000} e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}+\frac{0.05}{30000} e^{-\frac{x}{30000}}\right) d x+\left(0.95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}+0.05 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right) u^{2} \\
= & {\left[-x^{2}\left(0.95 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}+0.05 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}}\right) d x\right]_{0}^{u}+2 \int_{0}^{u} x\left(0.95 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}+0.05 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}}\right) d x } \\
& +\left(0.95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}+0.05 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right) u^{2} \\
= & 2\left(\left[-x\left(4750 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}+1500 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}}\right)\right]_{0}^{u}+\int_{0}^{u}\left(4750 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}+1500 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}}\right) d x\right) \\
= & -2 u\left(4750 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}+1500 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)+137500000-47500000 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}-90000000 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}} \\
\operatorname{Var}(X \wedge u)= & 137500000-2 u\left(4750 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}+1500 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)-47500000 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}-90000000 e^{-\frac{x}{30000}} \\
& -\left(6250-4750 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-1500 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)^{2} \\
= & 98437500-9500 u e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-3000 u e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}+11875000 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-71250000 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}} \\
& \left.-14250000 e^{-u\left(\frac{1}{5000}+\frac{1}{30000}\right.}\right)-22562500 e^{-\frac{2 u}{5000}}-2250000 e^{-\frac{2 u}{30000}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the variance per policy is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(P)= & 0.02 \operatorname{Var}(X \wedge u)+0.02 \times 0.98(\mathbb{E}(X \wedge u))^{2} \\
= & 0.02 \mathbb{E}\left((X \wedge u)^{2}\right)-0.02^{2}(\mathbb{E}(X \wedge u))^{2} \\
= & 2734375-190 u e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-60 u e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}-926250 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-1792500 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}} \\
& -5700 e^{-u\left(\frac{1}{5000}+\frac{1}{30000}\right)}-9025 e^{-\frac{2 u}{5000}}-900 e^{-\frac{2 u}{30000}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the average loss per policy is obtained by dividing this by 10000 . Using a normal approximation, the probability that aggregate losses exceed premiums is

$$
1-\Phi\left(\sqrt{10000} \frac{12.5-9.5 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-3 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(P)}}\right)
$$

We want to solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(\sqrt{10000} \frac{12.5-9.5 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-3 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(P)}}\right) & =0.999 \\
\sqrt{10000} \frac{12.5-9.5 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-3 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(P)}} & =3.090232 \\
\left(12.5-9.5 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-3 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)^{2} & =0.00009549536 \operatorname{Var}(P) \\
0.01009549536\left(125-95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-30 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)^{2} & =0.00009549536 \times 0.02 \mathbb{E}\left((X \wedge u)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$105.7171\left(125-95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}-30 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)^{2}=-2 u\left(95 e^{-\frac{u}{5000}}+30 e^{-\frac{u}{30000}}\right)+2750000-950000 e^{-\frac{x}{5000}}-18000$

Solving this numerically gives $u=\$ 27,195$.

